UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO. 0:18-cv-61991-BB

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

v.

1 GLOBAL CAPITAL LLC, and CARL RUDERMAN,

Defendants, and

1 WEST CAPITAL LLC, BRIGHT SMILE FINANCING, LLC, BRR BLOCK INC., DIGI SOUTH LLC, GANADOR ENTERPRISES, LLC, MEDIA PAY LLC PAY NOW DIRECT LLC, and RUDERMAN FAMILY TRUST,

Relief Defendants.

RECEIVER'S MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Jon A. Sale, not individually, but solely in his capacity as the Court-appointed receiver (the "Receiver") for Bright Smile Financing, LLC ("Bright Smile"); BRR Block Inc. ("BRR Block"); Digi South LLC ("Digi South"); Ganador Enterprises, LLC ("Ganador"); Media Pay LLC ("Media Pay"); Pay Now Direct LLC ("Pay Now"); the Ruderman Family Trust; and the Bright Smile Trust (the "Receivership Entities"), respectfully submits this Amended Motion for Approval of Settlement Agreement ("Motion") with Bella Vista Mid-Rise North Condo. Association ("Defendant").

INTRODUCTION

The Receiver alleges, based upon the bank records of the Receivership Entities and other records obtained in the Receivership, that Defendant received a total of approximately \$135,700 in payments from one or more Receivership Entities in connection with condominium fees for Defendant Carl Ruderman's residence.

To avoid the expense and risk of litigating claims, the Receiver and Defendant have agreed to enter into the proposed executed settlement agreement (the "Settlement Agreement"), attached as **Exhibit A**. Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, Defendant shall pay the Receiver \$62,500.00, which is a greater than 46% recovery. The Receiver's authority to settle with Defendant is derived from the Receivership Order. [D.E. 12, ¶6].

THE RECEIVERSHIP ORDER

On August 23, 2018, the Court entered an order appointing Mr. Sale as the Receiver. [D.E. 12]. The Receivership Order provides, among other things, that the assets and property of the Receivership Entities, whatsoever and wherever located, are to be placed in the Receiver's control [Id. at ¶ 1] and the Receiver has sole title to the assets and property, including but not limited to all books, papers, codes, records, data, bank accounts, savings accounts, securities, supplies, equipment, and other real property [Id. at ¶¶ 1, 17].

The Receivership Order also gives the Receiver power to "[d]efend, compromise or settle legal actions, including the instant proceeding in which these Relief Defendants or the Receiver are a party, commenced either prior to or subsequent to this Order." [Id. at ¶ 6].

Finally, the Receivership Order further provides:

2

¹ The Receiver was not required to file suit against Defendant. As such, the Receiver saved on the expense of litigation and does not need to pay contingency counsel in connection with this settlement.

In the event the Receiver discovers that investor funds received by these Relief Defendants have been transferred to other persons or entities, the Receiver shall apply to this Court for an Order giving the Receiver possession of such funds and, if the Receiver deems it advisable, extending this receivership over any person or entity holding such investor funds[.]

[*Id.* at \P 24].

THE PAYMENTS

The Receiver contends that Pay Now Direct LLC, a Receivership Entity, paid approximately \$136,7000 to Defendant ("Payments"). The Receiver contends that the records of the Receivership Entities demonstrate that these Payments are recoverable under applicable law and rightfully belong to the Receivership Estate.

THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

The proposed Settlement Agreement provides in pertinent part:

- Defendant will pay \$62,500.00 ("Settlement Amount") to the Receiver within 10 days of the Court's order approving the Settlement Agreement.
- The Receiver and Defendant agree to mutual general releases upon the Court's approval of the Settlement Agreement and the Receiver's receipt of the full Settlement Amount due under the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

See Ex. A.

JURISDICTION

The Receiver and Defendant request that the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the Settlement Agreement and decide any other issues arising from the Settlement Agreement. Defendant exclusively submits to the jurisdiction of this Court for such purposes and waives any right to challenge this Court's jurisdiction. Defendant also agrees that in the event an enforcement action or any other litigation

arising from the Settlement Agreement, Defendant submits to the jurisdiction of this Court exclusively for such purposes and waives any right to challenge this Court's jurisdiction.

BEST INTERESTS OF THE RECEIVERSHIP ESTATE

The Receiver respectfully submits that the Court should approve the proposed Settlement Agreement because it is in the best interest of the Receivership Estate. The process of reaching the proposed settlement was fair, well-informed, and well-advised by the Receiver's retained professionals.

The ultimate inquiry in assessing a proposed receivership settlement is whether "the proposed settlement is fair." Sterling v. Stewart, 158 F. 3d 1199, 1203 (11th Cir. 1998); see In re Consol. Pinnacle West Sec. Litig./Resolution Trust Corp.-Merabank Litig., 51 F. 3d 194, 196-97 (9th Cir. 1995) ("We see no reason to upset the court's conclusion that the settlement process and result were fair."). Determining the fairness of [a] settlement is left to the sound discretion of the trial court." Sterling, 158 F. 3d at 1202 (11th Cir. 1998). In determining fairness, the Court should examine the following broad array of factors: (1) the likelihood of success on the merits; (2) the range of possible recovery; (3) the point on or below the range of recovery at which settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable; (4) the complexity, expense and duration of litigation; (5) the substance and amount of opposition to the settlement; and (6) the stage of proceedings at which the settlement was achieved. Sterling, 158 F. 3d at 1204. See also SEC v. Princeton Economic Int'l, 2002 WL 206990, *2 (S.D.N.Y. 2002) (receivership court should consider "various factors including, inter alia: (1) the probable validity of the claim; (2) the apparent difficulties attending its enforcement through the courts; (3) the collectability of the judgment thereafter; (4) the delay and expenses of the litigation to be incurred; and (5) the amount involved in the compromise").

For example, the District Court in Gordon v. Dadante "analyze[d] the settlement as a

whole, under the totality of the circumstances." 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 32281, *39, 48 (N.D. Ohio April 18, 2008). The Sixth Circuit affirmed, finding that the district court had fulfilled its responsibilities by engaging in an "independent analysis of the settlement," as "the district court had extensive knowledge of the claims involved in the case, the valuation of those claims, and the nature of the settlement," and thus "had more than sufficient information to assess the fairness of the settlement proposed." 2009 U.S. App. LEXIS 15517 at **16, 23. As the district court noted in a later approval proceeding, "the courts must recognize that plans relating to settlement of a receivership are inherently imperfect, "because no proposal can be [perfect]," and the "task at hand, however, is to do justice to the extent possible." *Gordon v. Dadante*, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 1979, *13-14 (N.D. Ohio Jan. 11, 2010).

Here, the Receiver respectfully submits that the Settlement Agreement is a fair, adequate, and reasonable resolution of the Receiver's potential causes of action against Defendant. The Settlement Agreements provides for a greater than 46% recovery of funds transferred to Defendant. The Receiver did not incur any fees or costs litigating with Defendant, and the Receiver is not required to pay any portion of the recovery to contingency counsel. The Receiver believes that the outcome for the Receivership Entities will be better under the Settlement Agreement than it would be if the Receiver was forced to expend fees and costs litigating or paying contingency counsel a portion of any recovery in litigation.

Based on the Receiver's due diligence, the terms of the proposed Settlement Agreement are fair and reasonable, representing a sensible means of assuring a beneficial outcome.

OBJECTION PROCEDURE

As noted above, the determination of the fairness of a settlement is left to the sound discretion of the trial court. *See Sterling*, 158 F. 3d at 1202. Because "the substance and amount of

opposition to the settlement" is a factor for the Court's consideration pursuant to the Sterling test,

the Receiver respectfully requests that the Court, in exercising its broad discretion, approve the

Settlement Agreement with a limited objection procedure. The Receiver proposes posting this

Motion and the Settlement Agreement on both the Receiver's website and 1 Global's website and

allowing fourteen (14) days for any potential objections to be filed with the Court.

It is the Receiver's position that given the amount at stake in the Lawsuit, an expensive,

elongated objection procedure would render the benefits of the settlement worthless to the

Receivership Estate, and thus this limited objection procedure should be permitted.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Jon A. Sale, as Receiver, respectfully requests that this Court

enter an Order approving the Settlement Agreement, subject to the limited objection procedure

described above, and granting any further relief it deems just and proper.

Dated: March 19, 2021.

NELSON MULLINS BROAD AND CASSEL

Attorneys for Receiver

One Biscayne Tower, 21st Floor

2 S. Biscayne Boulevard

Miami, FL 33131

Telephone: 305.373.9400

Facsimile: 305.995.6449

By: s/Christopher Cavallo

Daniel S. Newman

Florida Bar No. 0962767

Gary Freedman

Florida Bar No. 727260

Christopher Cavallo

Florida Bar No. 0092305

6

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 19, 2021, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing is being served this day on all counsel of record identified on the attached Service List in the manner specified, either via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF or in some other authorized manner for those counsel who are not authorized to receive electronically Notices of Electronic Filing.

s/Christopher Cavallo
Christopher Cavallo

SERVICE LIST

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	MARCUS NEIMAN & RASHBAUM LLP
Miami Regional Office	2 South Biscayne Boulevard
801 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1800	Suite 1750
Miami, Florida 33131	Miami, Florida 33131
Robert K. Levenson	Jeff Marcus
Chris Martin	jmarcus@mnrlawfirm.com
Senior Trial Counsel	Telephone: 305.400.4262
levensonr@sec.gov	Attorneys for Defendant Carl Ruderman
martinc@sec.gov	
Telephone: 305.982.6300	
Facsimile: 305.536.4154	
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP	
333 S.E. 2nd Ave., Suite 4400	
Miami, FL 33131	
Paul J. Keenan Jr.	
keenanp@gtlaw.com	
Telephone: 305.579.0500	
Attorneys for Defendant 1 Global Capital, LLC and	
Relief Defendant 1 West Capital, LLC	

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE

THIS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT (the "Agreement") is entered into this 16th day of March, 2021, by and between Jon A. Sale, not individually, but solely in his capacity as the Court-appointed receiver ("Receiver") for Bright Smile Financing, LLC; BRR Block Inc.; Pay Now Direct, LLC ("Pay Now"); Ganador Enterprises, LLC; Digi South, LLC; Media Pay LLC; the Bright Smile Trust; and the Ruderman Family Trust (collectively, the "Receivership Entities"), and Bella Vista Mid-Rise North Condo. Association ("BVCA"). The Receiver and the BVCA are collectively referred to as the "Parties."

WHEREAS, Judge Beth Bloom of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida (the "District Court") appointed the Receiver in Securities and Exchange Commission vs. 1 Global Capital LLC and Carl Ruderman, et al. Case No. 0:18-cv-61991-BB (the "SEC Proceeding"), and authorized the Receiver to marshal the assets of the Receivership Entities, investigate the affairs of the Receivership Entities, institute actions to recover funds for the benefit of investors, and enter into agreements as may be reasonable and advisable in discharging the Receiver's duties;

WHEREAS, the Receiver alleges that BVCA received from Pay Now approximately \$135,000 in payments that are recoverable pursuant to applicable law (the "Transfers");

WHEREAS, BVCA denies the Receiver's allegations; and

WHEREAS, to avoid the expense and risk of litigation, the Parties hereto are desirous of resolving all disputes between them in connection with the Transfers;

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and mutual promises and covenants contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:

- 1. <u>Recitals</u>. The Recitals above are confirmed as true and correct, are incorporated herein by reference, and are a substantive and contractual part of this Agreement.
- 2. <u>Settlement</u>. The Parties hereby settle and compromise all claims, disputes, and controversies between them for damages, attorneys' fees, costs, and/or equitable relief, arising from the Transfers.
- 3. <u>Court Approval and Effective Date</u>. This Agreement is not effective unless and until it is approved by the District Court. The Effective Date of this Agreement is defined as the date the Court enters an order approving the Agreement, if such approval is granted.
- 4. <u>Settlement Terms</u>. In consideration and exchange for the settlement and releases contained herein:

- a. BVCA agrees to pay the sum of \$62,500.00 (the "Settlement Amount") within 10 days of the Effective Date of this Agreement.
- b. BVCA shall pay the Settlement Amount either: (1) by wiring the funds to counsel for the Receiver using the wiring instructions attached hereto; or (2) in the form of a check made payable to Jon Sale, Receiver.

5. General Releases.

Upon the Effective Date and upon the Receiver's receipt and clearing of the entire Settlement Amount as set forth in paragraph 4 above, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the Receiver, on behalf of the Receivership Entities, their beneficiaries, predecessors, subsidiaries, affiliates, current or former agents, shareholders, members, agents, representatives, officers, principals, directors, executives, employees, attorneys, successors, and assigns, does hereby voluntarily and knowingly, unconditionally and absolutely waive, remise, generally release, acquit, satisfy and forever discharge BVCA and its subsidiaries, affiliates, current or former agents, shareholders, officers, principals, directors, executives, employees, attorneys, insurers, successors and assigns from or concerning any causes of action, claims, complaints, liabilities, suits, debts, dues, sums of money, accounts, indemnities, guarantees, contributions, reckonings, bonds, bills, covenants, contracts, controversies, agreements, promises, damages, injuries, judgments, executions, claims, expenses, and all other damages now accrued or hereafter to accrue, of any kind or character whatsoever, in any country or jurisdiction whatsoever, in law or in equity, known or unknown, direct or indirect, fixed or contingent, suspected or unsuspected, including but not limited to, any claims under federal, state or local law or any laws of any country in the world, arising out of or relating to the Transfers. This release encompasses any potential claims for any relief, no matter how denominated, including but not limited to, compensatory damages, punitive damages and attorneys' fees and costs actually incurred, related to the Transfers; provided, however, such release does not include any breach of this Agreement.

Upon the Effective Date and upon the Receiver's receipt and clearing of the entire Settlement Amount as set forth in paragraph 4 above, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, BVCA, on behalf of itself, its beneficiaries, predecessors, subsidiaries, affiliates, current or former agents, shareholders, members, consultants, agents, representatives, officers, principals, directors, executives, employees, attorneys, successors and assigns, does hereby voluntarily and knowingly, unconditionally and absolutely waive, remise, generally release, acquit, satisfy and forever discharge the Receiver, the Receivership Estate, and the Receivership Entities and their predecessors, subsidiaries, affiliates, current or former agents, shareholders, officers, principals, directors, executives, employees, attorneys, insurers, successors, and assigns from or concerning any causes of action, claims, complaints, liabilities, suits, debts, dues,

sums of money, accounts, indemnities, guarantees, contributions, reckonings, bonds, bills, covenants, contracts, controversies, agreements, promises, damages, injuries, judgments, executions, claims, expenses, and all other damages now accrued or hereafter to accrue, of any kind or character whatsoever, in any country or jurisdiction whatsoever, in law or in equity, known or unknown, direct or indirect, fixed or contingent, suspected or unsuspected, including but not limited to, any claims under federal, state or local law, or any laws of any country in the world, arising out of or relating to the Transfers. This release encompasses any potential claims for any relief, no matter how denominated, including but not limited to, compensatory damages, punitive damages and attorneys' fees and costs actually incurred, related to the Transfers; provided, however, such release does not include any breach of this Agreement.

The Receiver and BVCA acknowledge and agree that by executing this Settlement Agreement BVCA does not intend to, nor does it release claims, if any, that BVCA may have against Carl Ruderman that arise from or relate to the the Transfers, nor shall this Settlement Agreement confer any rights upon BVCA for any such claims (hereinafter referred to as the "BVCA Exempted Claims"). This Settlement Agreement shall not release the BVCA Exempted Claims, if any, nor shall this Settlement Agreement confer any rights upon any third party with regard to the BVCA Exempted Claims.

- 6. No Admissions. This Agreement is expressly agreed to be in compromise of all disputes and disputed claims, and the Settlement Amount and/or the releases contained herein are not to be construed as admissions of liability, culpability, or wrongdoing on the part of the Parties, which liability, culpability, or wrongdoing is expressly denied.
- 7. No Assignment. The Receiver represents and warrants that Receiver has not sold, transferred, conveyed, assigned, or otherwise disposed of any right, title, or interest in any of the matters released herein to anyone, and that Receiver is not aware of anyone who may have or who has asserted or can assert a right, title, or interest in any of the matters released in this Agreement.
- 8. <u>Integration</u>. This Agreement contains the entire Agreement between the Parties, and the terms of this Agreement are contractual and not a mere recital. The Parties agree that all prior negotiations and understandings between them have been merged herein, and that this Agreement may not be modified or changed, except by a writing signed by a duly authorized representative of each party.
- 9. <u>Binding Nature</u>. The provisions of this Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their estates, heirs, legal representatives, successors and assigns. The Parties represent and acknowledge that they have had an opportunity to, and have consulted with, counsel in connection with the execution of this Agreement.

- Case 0:18-cy-61981-BB Document 278-1 Entered on FLSD Docket 03/19/2021 Page 4 of 5

 shall be deemed an original, and the counterparts shall together constitute one and the same agreement, notwithstanding that each party is not signatory to the original or the same counterpart. Facsimile, scanned, and emailed signatures shall be deemed as effective as original signatures.
 - 11. <u>Disputes</u>. Any dispute arising out of this Agreement or its terms shall be resolved exclusively through the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida, and Florida law shall govern. The Parties expressly submit to personal jurisdiction in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida for any such claims.
 - 12. <u>Authority</u>. Each of the signatories hereto represents that she/he has authority to execute this Agreement and to bind the party or parties on whose behalf she/he has signed.
 - 13. <u>Severability</u>. If any provision of this Agreement is determined to be invalid or unenforceable, the remainder of this Agreement shall not be affected thereby.
 - 14. <u>Construction</u>. The Parties to this Agreement have negotiated at arms' length and participated in the drafting of this Agreement and, accordingly, any claimed ambiguity shall not be presumptively resolved either in favor of or against any party hereto.
 - 15. Expenses. Except as otherwise provided herein, the Parties will bear their own expenses, costs and/or attorneys' fees, including any costs or attorneys' fees incurred in connection with the negotiation and execution of this Agreement. The Parties agree that in the event of any litigation to enforce this Agreement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs from the non-prevailing party in any action.
 - 16. <u>Default</u>. In the event BVCA fails to timely make payment pursuant to paragraph 4, the Receiver shall provide BVCA with five (5) business days written notice of default. During this five (5) business day period, BVCA shall have the opportunity to cure such default (the "Cure Period").
 - 17. Jurisdiction. The Parties agree to seek an Order providing that the District Court that appointed the Receiver shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Agreement and decide any other issues arising from this Agreement. In the event no such order retaining jurisdiction over this Agreement is granted, the Parties agree that the sole venue for any action arising from the Agreement shall be in the District Court for the Southern District of Florida and submit to personal jurisdiction before that Court.

Notices. All notices to the Receiver shall be addressed to Daniel Newman, Nelson 18. Mullins Broad and Cassel, 2 S. Biscayne Blvd., Suite 2100, Miami, Florida 33131, which shall constitute good and sufficient notice. All notices sent to BVCA shall be addressed to Jude Cooper, Becker & Poliakoff, 1 East Broward Blvd., Suite 1800, Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33301, JCooper@beckerlawyers.com, which shall constitute good and sufficient notice.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have affixed or caused to be affixed their respective signatures, effective on the day and year first written above.

JON A. SALE, THE RECEIVER

on A. Sale

Name:

Title:

Jon A. Sale Receiver

Date:

03/16/2021

BELLA VISTA MID-RISE NORTH

CONDO. ASSOC.

Name: MARVIS Neuman Title: President Date: 3/19/2021